Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/671,933	GUSTAVSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
· ·	Chat C. Do	2193	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>pending</u>		
(1) <u>Chat C. Do</u> .	(3)		
(2) Frederick E. Cooperrider.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 8 May 2007	Time: <u>2:50 PM</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: rejections under 101 and 102.			
Claims discussed: 1, 10, 15, and 18			
Prior art documents discussed: None	·		
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	3 DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview	
·			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner initiated a call to the attorney of record, Mr. Frederick E. Cooperrider Reg. 36,769, to discuss the possibility of allowable features of the claims and briefly 101 issue. Upon the discussion, the examiner agreed with the attorney of record the newly amended claims would overcome the current prior art and the attorney of record would file a supplemental amendment to address the proposed amended claims.